



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/920,192	08/01/2001	Vivek Amir Jairazbhoy	10541-609	6436
75	90 11/04/2003		EXAMINER	
Brinks Hofer (Filson & Lione		TRINH, I	MINH N
P.O. Box 10395				
Chicago, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-			3729	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003



Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· ·	09/920,192	JAIRAZBHOY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Minh Trinh	3729			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the correspond nce address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	September 2003 .				
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	expants quayro, roco o.b. 11, 1	00 0.0. 210.			
4) Claim(s) 22-27 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
<u> </u>	• •	**************************************			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bur* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/920,192 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of claim 21-27 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 8-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 5. An Office Action on the merits of elected claims 21-27 follows.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure should be revised to reflect the claimed article. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

4. "An" (claim 22-24 and 26-27, line 1) should be: -- The -- to place the claim(s) in dependent formats.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/920,192 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following are examples:

a) the phrase: "each mounting pad" (claim 21, line 6) is not clear as to whether "each mounting pad" is one of the "at least two mounting pads" as previously cited in claim 21, line 4. If this is the case, the limitation: "each mounting pad" should be changed to: --each of the mounting pads--. Also, "each mounting pad is/ are" (claim 22, line 2) should be changed to: --each of the mounting pads is--, in order to clarify the claimed subject matter.

Is "each of mounting pad "(claim 25, lines 4-5) is as same as one of the "two mounting pads" of claim 25, line 3. Please clarify.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 21 as best understood is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lake (6,251,211). Lake discloses the electronic assembly for connecting

Application/Control Number: 09/920,192

Art Unit: 3729

an electronic component thereto, comprising: an electrically insulation substrate 40; mounting pads 42 disposed on said substrate in matched relation with respective terminations of the electronic component 10; and at least one metallic bump of the component 10 attached to each mounting pads 42 within a projected footprint of the electronic component 10 (see Figs. 1 and 3, and the discussed at col. 3, lines 42-52).

9. Claims 21 and 25-27 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sweitzer (US 5,615,477).

As applied to claims 21 and 25, Sweitzer discloses the electronic assembly for connecting an electronic component thereto, comprising: an electrically insulation substrate 30; mounting pads 37 disposed on said substrate in matched relation with respective terminations of the electronic component 10; and at least one metallic bump of the component 10 attached to each mounting pads 42 within a projected footprint 38 of the electronic component 10 (see Figs. 3A and 3B, and the discussed at col. 11, lines 59-65).

As applied to claim 22, Sweitzer teaches the at least one bump on each of the mounting pads is arranged generally symmetrically thereon with respect to a central longitudinal axis of said projected footprint 38 as recited in claim 22 (see Fig. 3A, and the discusses at col. 9, lines 5-8).

As applied to claim 23, Sweitzer teaches the mounting pads and the metallic bumps are made of different metal (see the discussion at col. 11, lines 1-15).

Limitation of claim 25 is met as the above discussion.

Art Unit: 3729

As applied to claim 27, Sweitzer teaches the bonding material is being soldered (see col. 4, lines 30-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sweitzer in view of Brady et al (US 5,134,460) and S. J. Lins et al (3,373,481).

Sweitzer does not teach the mounting pads are being copper and the bumps are made of aluminum. Brady et al teach the bumps are being aluminum (see col. 10, lines 41-45). Regarding the mounting pads are being copper. It is conventional and well known in the art to use copper as the mounting pad in order to provide a good connection in fact a reference to S.J. Lins et al, at col. 4, lines 8-10 discussed the concept of connection pads 24's are copper). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to employ the teachings of mounting pads are copper as taught by S.J. Lins et al in combination with the bumps are aluminum as taught by Brady et al onto the invention of Sweitzer in order to form a bonding structure which meet manufacturing requirement. Furthermore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose any desired bonding pads and bumps since applicant has not disclosed that these features are critical, patentably

distinguishing features and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the pads and bumps materials as suggested by the prior art references (see Sweitzer's Fig.3B, references 37 and 16, and the discussion at col. 4 of Brady).

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art teaching of interconnection PCB with an associated chip or component thereto.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Examiner Group 3700

Mt 10/22/03